arXiv:1109.6138v1 [math.DG] 28 Sep 2011

BIHARMONIC SUBMANIFOLDS WITH PARALLEL MEAN CURVATURE IN $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

DOREL FETCU, CEZAR ONICIUC, AND HAROLD ROSENBERG

Abstract. We find a Simons type formula for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector (pmc submanifolds) in product spaces $M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $M^n(c)$ is a space form with constant sectional curvature c, and then we use it to prove a gap theorem for the mean curvature of certain complete proper-biharmonic pmc submanifolds, and classify proper-biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$.

1. Introduction

The notion of *biharmonic maps* was suggested in 1964 by Eells and Sampson in [14], as a natural generalization of *harmonic maps*. Thus, whilst a harmonic map $\psi : (M, g) \to (\bar{M}, h)$ between two Riemannian manifolds is defined as a critical point of the *energy functional*

$$E(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\psi|^2 \, v_g,$$

a biharmonic map is a critical point of the *bienergy functional*

$$E_2(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\psi)|^2 \, v_g,$$

where $\tau(\psi) = \operatorname{trace} \nabla d\psi$ is the tension field that vanishes for harmonic maps. The Euler-Lagrange equation for the bienergy functional was derived by Jiang in 1986 (see [18]):

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\psi) &= \Delta\tau(\psi) - \operatorname{trace} \bar{R}(d\psi, \tau(\psi))d\psi \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_2(\psi)$ is the *bitension field* of ψ, $\Delta = \operatorname{trace}(\nabla^\psi)^2 = \operatorname{trace}(\nabla^\psi \nabla^\psi - \nabla^\psi_\nabla)$ is the rough Laplacian defined on sections of $\psi^{-1}(T\bar{M})$ and $\bar{R}$ is the curvature tensor of $\bar{M}$, given by $\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = [\bar{\nabla}_X, \bar{\nabla}_Y]Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[X,Y]}Z$. Since any harmonic map is biharmonic, we are interested in non-harmonic biharmonic maps, which are called *proper-biharmonic*.

A *biharmonic submanifold* in a Riemannian manifold is a submanifold for which the inclusion map is biharmonic. In Euclidean space the biharmonic submanifolds are the same as those defined by Chen in [11], as they are characterized by the equation $\Delta H = 0$, where H is the mean curvature vector field and Δ is the rough Laplacian.

Some very fertile environments for finding examples of proper-biharmonic submanifolds proved to be the unit Euclidian sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, and, in general, space forms

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53E20.

Key words and phrases. biharmonic submanifolds, submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector field, Simons type equation.

The first author was supported by a Post-Doctoral Fellowship "Pós-Doutorado Sênior (PDS)" offered by FAPERJ, Brazil.

with positive sectional curvature. For example, whilst there are no proper-biharmonic curves and surfaces in 3-dimensional spaces with non-positive constant sectional curvature (see Chen and Ishikawa's paper [12] and Dimitric's paper [13] in the case of Euclidian space, and Caddeo, Montaldo and Oniciuc's article [9] when the sectional curvature is negative) we do have examples of such submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^3$ in [8], where they are explicitly classified.

In the very recent paper [22], Ou and Wang studied the biharmonicity of constant mean curvature surfaces (cmc surfaces) in Thurston's 3-dimensional geometries, amongst them being the product space $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

The case of cmc surfaces in product spaces of type $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $M^2(c)$ is a simply connected surface with constant sectional curvature c, and then that of surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector field (pmc surfaces) in product spaces of type $M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $M^n(c)$ is a space form, received a special attention (see, for example, Abresch and Rosenberg's papers [1, 2] on cmc surfaces, and Alencar, do Carmo and Tribuzy's article [4] on pmc surfaces). From the point of view of biharmonicity, pmc surfaces and, in general, pmc submanifolds in spheres, were studied in [9] in [7], respectively.

In his paper [23] from 1968, Simons proved a very important formula for the Laplacian of the second fundamental form of a minimal submanifold in a Riemannian manifold and then used it to characterize certain minimal submanifolds of a sphere and Euclidean space. Over the years, such formulas, called Simons type equations, also proved to be a powerful tool for studying cmc and pmc submanifolds.

In our paper, we first obtain a Simons type equation for pmc submanifolds in product spaces $M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ and then we use it to prove a gap phenomenon for the mean curvature of a proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold. We also investigate the biharmonicity of pmc surfaces in product spaces and, using a reduction of codimension result of Eschenburg and Tribuzy in [16] and the above mentioned Simons type formula, we get a classification theorem. Our main results are the following two theorems.

Theorem 4.9. *Let Σ^m be a complete proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, with $m \geq 2$, such that its mean curvature satisfies*

$$|H|^2 > \frac{(m-1)(m^2+4)+(m-2)\sqrt{(m-1)(m-2)(m^2+m+2)}}{2m^3},$$

and the norm of its second fundamental form σ is bounded. Then $m < n$, $|H| = 1$ and Σ^m is a minimal submanifold of a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(2) \subset \mathbb{S}^n$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let Σ^2 be a proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$. Then either*

1. *Σ^2 is a minimal surface of a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(2c) \subset \mathbb{S}^n(c)$; or*
2. *Σ^2 is an (an open part of) a vertical cylinder $\pi^{-1}(\gamma)$, where γ is a circle in $\mathbb{S}^2(c)$ with curvature equal to $\sqrt{c}$, i.e. γ is a biharmonic circle in $\mathbb{S}^2(c)$.*

Acknowledgments. The first author would like to thank the IMPA in Rio de Janeiro for providing a very stimulative work environment during the preparation of this paper.

2. Preliminaries

Let $M^n(c)$ be a space form, i.e. a simply-connected n-dimensional manifold with constant sectional curvature c, and consider the product manifold $\bar{M} = M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$.

The expression of the curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of such a manifold can be obtained from

$$\langle \bar{R}(X,Y)Z,W\rangle = c\{\langle d\pi Y, d\pi Z\rangle\langle d\pi X, d\pi W\rangle - \langle d\pi X, d\pi Z\rangle\langle d\pi Y, d\pi W\rangle\},$$

where $\pi : \bar{M} = M^n(c)\times\mathbb{R} \to M^n(c)$ is the projection map. After a straightforward computation we get

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = \;& c\{\langle Y,Z\rangle X - \langle X,Z\rangle Y - \langle Y,\xi\rangle\langle Z,\xi\rangle X + \langle X,\xi\rangle\langle Z,\xi\rangle Y \\ & + \langle X,Z\rangle\langle Y,\xi\rangle\xi - \langle Y,Z\rangle\langle X,\xi\rangle\xi\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where ξ is the unit vector tangent to $\mathbb{R}$.

Let Σ^m, $m \le n$, be an m-dimensional submanifold of $\bar{M}$. From the equation of Gauss

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R(X,Y)Z,W\rangle = \;& \langle \bar{R}(X,Y)Z,W\rangle \\ & + \textstyle\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}\{\langle A_\alpha Y,Z\rangle\langle A_\alpha X,W\rangle - \langle A_\alpha X,Z\rangle\langle A_\alpha Y,W\rangle\},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the expression of its curvature tensor

$$\begin{aligned}R(X,Y)Z = \;& c\{\langle Y,Z\rangle X - \langle X,Z\rangle Y - \langle Y,T\rangle\langle Z,T\rangle X + \langle X,T\rangle\langle Z,T\rangle Y \\ & + \langle X,Z\rangle\langle Y,T\rangle T - \langle Y,Z\rangle\langle X,T\rangle T\} \\ & + \textstyle\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}\{\langle A_\alpha Y,Z\rangle A_\alpha X - \langle A_\alpha X,Z\rangle A_\alpha Y\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where T is the component of ξ tangent to Σ^m, A is the shape operator defined by the equation of Weingarten

$$\bar{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla^\perp_X V,$$

for any vector field X tangent to Σ^m and any normal vector field V. Here $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $\bar{M}$, $\nabla^\perp$ is the connection in the normal bundle, and $A_\alpha = A_{E_\alpha}$, $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}$ being a local orthonormal frame field in the normal bundle.

Definition 2.1. A submanifold Σ^m of $M^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$ is called a *vertical cylinder* over Σ^{m-1} if $\Sigma^m = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{m-1})$, where $\pi : M^n(c)\times\mathbb{R} \to M^n(c)$ is the projection map and Σ^{m-1} is a submanifold of $M^n(c)$.

It is easy to see that vertical cylinders $\Sigma^m = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{m-1})$ are characterized by the fact that ξ is tangent to Σ^m.

Definition 2.2. If the mean curvature vector field H of a submanifold Σ^m is parallel in the normal bundle, i.e. $\nabla^\perp H = 0$, then Σ^m is called a *pmc submanifold*.

Remark 2.1. It is straightforward to verify that $\Sigma^m = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{m-1})$ is a pmc vertical cylinder in $M^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$ if and only if Σ^{m-1} is a pmc submanifold in $M^n(c)$. Moreover, the mean curvature vector field of Σ^m is $H = \frac{n-1}{n}H_0$, where H_0 is the mean curvature vector field of Σ^{m-1}. It also easy to prove that the vertical cylinder $\Sigma^m = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{m-1})$ is proper-biharmonic in $M^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$ if and only if Σ^{m-1} is proper-biharmonic in $M^n(c)$.

We end this section by recalling the following two results, which we shall use later.

Lemma 2.3 ([3, 20])**.** *Let a_i, $i = 1,\dots,m$, be real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 = b^2$, where $b =$ constant ≥ 0. Then*

$$-\frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}b^3 \le \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^3 \le \frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}b^3,$$

and equality holds in the right-hand (left-hand) side if and only if $(n-1)$ of the a_i's are non-positive and equal ($(n-1)$ of the a_i's are non-negative and equal).

Theorem 2.4 (Omori-Yau Maximum Principle, [24])**.** *If Σ^m is a complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below, then for any smooth function $u \in C^2(\Sigma^m)$ with $\sup_{\Sigma^m} u < +\infty$ there exists a sequence of points $\{p_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma^m$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} u(p_k) = \sup_{\Sigma^m} u, \quad |\nabla u|(p_k) < \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u(p_k) < \frac{1}{k}.$$

3. A Simons type formula for submanifolds in $M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$

Let Σ^m, $m \leq n$, be an m-dimensional submanifold of $M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, with mean curvature vector field H. In this section we shall compute the Laplacian of the squared norm of A_V, where V is a normal vector field to the submanifold, such that V is parallel in the normal bundle, i.e. $\nabla^\perp V = 0$, and trace A_V = constant.

Lemma 3.1. *If U and V are normal vector fields to Σ^m and V is parallel in the normal bundle, then $[A_V, A_U] = 0$, i.e. A_V commutes with A_U.*

Proof. The conclusion follows easily, from the Ricci equation,

$$\langle R^\perp(X,Y)V, U\rangle = \langle [A_V, A_U]X, Y\rangle + \langle \bar{R}(X,Y)V, U\rangle,$$

since $R^\perp(X,Y)V = 0$ and (2.1) implies that $\langle \bar{R}(X,Y)V, U\rangle = 0$. □

Now, from the Codazzi equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \bar{R}(X,Y)Z, V\rangle = \; & \langle \nabla^\perp_X \sigma(Y,Z), V\rangle - \langle \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z), V\rangle - \langle \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z), V\rangle \\ & - \langle \nabla^\perp_Y \sigma(X,Z), V\rangle + \langle \sigma(\nabla_Y X, Z), V\rangle + \langle \sigma(X, \nabla_Y Z), V\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where σ is the second fundamental form of Σ^m, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \bar{R}(X,Y)Z, V\rangle = \; & X(\langle A_V Y, Z\rangle) - \langle \sigma(Y,Z), \nabla^\perp_X V\rangle - \langle A_V(\nabla_X Y), Z\rangle \\ & - \langle A_V Y, \nabla_X Z\rangle - Y(\langle A_V X, Z\rangle) + \langle \sigma(X,Z), \nabla^\perp_Y V\rangle \\ & + \langle A_V(\nabla_Y X), Z\rangle + \langle A_V X, \nabla_Y Z\rangle \\ = \; & \langle (\nabla_X A_V)Y - (\nabla_Y A_V)X, Z\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

since $\nabla^\perp V = 0$. Therefore, using (2.1), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X A_V)Y = (\nabla_Y A_V)X + c\langle V, N\rangle(\langle Y, T\rangle X - \langle X, T\rangle Y), \tag{3.1}$$

where N is the normal part of ξ.

Next, we have the following Weitzenböck fromula

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|A_V|^2 = |\nabla A_V|^2 + \langle \operatorname{trace} \nabla^2 A_V, A_V\rangle, \tag{3.2}$$

where we extended the metric $\langle , \rangle$ to the tensor space in the standard way.

The second term in the right hand side of (3.2) can be calculated by using a method introduced in [19], and, in the following, for the sake of completeness, we shall sketch this computation.

Let us consider

$$C(X,Y) = (\nabla^2 A_V)(X,Y) = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y A_V) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} A_V,$$

and note that we have the following Ricci commutation formula

$$C(X,Y) = C(Y,X) + [R(X,Y), A_V]. \tag{3.3}$$

Next, consider an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in $T_p\Sigma^m$, $p \in \Sigma^m$, extend e_i to vector fields E_i in a neighborhood of p such that $\{E_i\}$ is a geodesic frame field around p, and let us denote $X = E_k$. We have

$$(\operatorname{trace} \nabla^2 A_V)X = \sum_{i=1}^m C(E_i, E_i)X.$$

Using equation (3.1), we get, at p,

$$\begin{aligned} C(E_i,X)E_i &= \nabla_{E_i}((\nabla_X A_V)E_i) \\ &= \nabla_{E_i}((\nabla_{E_i} A_V)X) + c\nabla_{E_i}(\langle V,N\rangle(\langle E_i,T\rangle X - \langle X,T\rangle E_i)) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} C(E_i,X)E_i &= C(E_i,E_i)X - c\langle A_V E_i, T\rangle(\langle E_i,T\rangle X - \langle X,T\rangle E_i) \\ &\quad + c\langle V,N\rangle(\langle A_N E_i, E_i\rangle X - \langle A_N X, E_i\rangle E_i), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where we used $\sigma(E_i,T) = -\nabla^\perp_{E_i} N$ and $\nabla_{E_i} T = A_N E_i$, which follow from the fact that ξ is parallel, i.e. $\bar\nabla \xi = 0$.

We also have, at p,

$$C(X,E_i)E_i = \nabla_X((\nabla_{E_i} A_V)E_i), \tag{3.5}$$

and, from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we get, also at p,

$$\begin{aligned} C(E_i,E_i)X &= \nabla_X((\nabla_{E_i} A_V)E_i) + [R(E_i,X), A_V]E_i \\ &\quad + c\langle A_V E_i, T\rangle(\langle E_i,T\rangle X - \langle X,T\rangle E_i) \\ &\quad - c\langle V,N\rangle(\langle A_N E_i, E_i\rangle X - \langle A_N X, E_i\rangle E_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla_{E_i} A_V$ is symmetric, from (3.1), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{E_i} A_V)E_i, Z\rangle &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m \langle E_i, (\nabla_{E_i} A_V)Z\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle E_i, (\nabla_Z A_V)E_i\rangle \\ &\quad + c\langle V,N\rangle \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m \langle E_i, \langle Z,T\rangle E_i - \langle E_i,T\rangle Z\rangle \\ &= \operatorname{trace}(\nabla_Z A_V) + c(m-1)\langle V,N\rangle\langle T,Z\rangle \\ &= Z(\operatorname{trace} A_V) + c(m-1)\langle V,N\rangle\langle T,Z\rangle \\ &= c(m-1)\langle V,N\rangle\langle T,Z\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for any vector Z tangent to Σ^m, since $\operatorname{trace} A_V = \text{constant}$.

From the Gauss equation (2.2) of the surface Σ^2, and Lemma 3.1, we get, after a straightforward computation,

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m R(E_i,X)A_V E_i &= c\{A_V X - (\operatorname{trace} A_V)X + (\operatorname{trace} A_V)\langle X,T\rangle T \\ &\quad - \langle A_V X, T\rangle T - \langle X,T\rangle A_V T + \langle A_V T, T\rangle X\} \\ &\quad + \textstyle\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}\{A_V A_\alpha^2 X - (\operatorname{trace}(A_V A_\alpha))A_\alpha X\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^m A_V R(E_i,X)E_i &= -c\{(m-1-|T|^2)A_VX-(m-2)\langle X,T\rangle A_VT\}\\ &\quad+\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}\{A_VA_\alpha^2X-(\operatorname{trace}A_\alpha)A_VA_\alpha X\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\operatorname{trace}\nabla^2A_V)X &= \sum_{i=1}^m C(E_i,E_i)X\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m[R(E_i,X),A_V]E_i\\ &\quad+c\{m\langle V,N\rangle A_NX-(m-1)\langle A_VX,T\rangle T+\langle A_VT,T\rangle X\\ &\quad-\langle X,T\rangle A_VT-m\langle H,N\rangle\langle V,N\rangle X\}\\ &= c\{(m-|T|^2)A_VX+2\langle A_VT,T\rangle X-m\langle A_VX,T\rangle T\\ &\quad-m\langle X,T\rangle A_VT+m\langle V,N\rangle A_NX-m\langle H,N\rangle\langle V,N\rangle X\\ &\quad-(\operatorname{trace}A_V)X+(\operatorname{trace}A_V)\langle X,T\rangle T\}\\ &\quad+\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}\{(\operatorname{trace}A_\alpha)A_VA_\alpha X-(\operatorname{trace}(A_VA_\alpha))A_\alpha X\},\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\operatorname{trace}\nabla^2A_V,A_V\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^m\langle(\operatorname{trace}\nabla^2A_V)E_i,A_VE_i\rangle\\ &= c\{(m-|T|^2)|A_V|^2-2m|A_VT|^2+3(\operatorname{trace}A_V)\langle A_VT,T\rangle\\ &\quad+m(\operatorname{trace}(A_NA_V))\langle V,N\rangle-(\operatorname{trace}A_V)^2\\ &\quad-m(\operatorname{trace}A_V)\langle H,N\rangle\langle V,N\rangle\}\\ &\quad+\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}\{(\operatorname{trace}A_\alpha)(\operatorname{trace}(A_V^2A_\alpha))-(\operatorname{trace}(A_VA_\alpha))^2\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.2), we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let Σ^m be a submanifold of $M^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$, with mean curvature vector field H and shape operator A. If V is a normal vector field, parallel in the normal bundle, with* $\operatorname{trace}A_V=\text{constant}$*, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta|A_V|^2 &= |\nabla A_V|^2+c\{(m-|T|^2)|A_V|^2-2m|A_VT|^2\\ &\quad+3(\operatorname{trace}A_V)\langle A_VT,T\rangle\\ &\quad+m(\operatorname{trace}(A_NA_V))\langle V,N\rangle-(\operatorname{trace}A_V)^2\\ &\quad-m(\operatorname{trace}A_V)\langle H,N\rangle\langle V,N\rangle\}\\ &\quad+\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}\{(\operatorname{trace}A_\alpha)(\operatorname{trace}(A_V^2A_\alpha))-(\operatorname{trace}(A_VA_\alpha))^2\},\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

where $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}$ is a local orthonormal frame field in the normal bundle.

4. A gap theorem for biharmonic pmc submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

Whereas complete biharmonic pmc submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ are the subject of our first main theorem, we have the following result for compact submanifolds.

Proposition 4.1. *If Σ^m is a compact biharmonic submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, then Σ^m lies in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$.*

Proof. The *height function* of a submanifold Σ^m in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$h = t \circ i : \Sigma^m \to \mathbb{R},$$

where $t : \mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the projection map and $i : \Sigma^m \to \mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ is the inclusion map. It is easy to verify that

$$\tau(h) = dt(\tau(i)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2(h) = dt(\tau_2(i)),$$

and we see that, if Σ^m is biharmonic, then h is also a biharmonic function.

Since Σ^m is a compact biharmonic submanifold, it follows that h is a real valued biharmonic function defined on a compact manifold, which, according to a result in [18], leads to the fact that h is actually a harmonic function, but then, using the maximum principle, we get that h is constant, i.e. Σ^m lies in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$. □

We recall now the following three results which we shall use later in this paper.

Theorem 4.2 ([21])**.** *A proper-biharmonic cmc submanifold Σ^m in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$, with mean curvature equal to $\sqrt{c}$, is minimal in a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(2c) \subset \mathbb{S}^n(c)$.*

Theorem 4.3 ([7])**.** *If Σ^m is a proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$, with mean curvature vector field H and $m > 2$, then $|H| \in \left(0, \frac{m-2}{m}\sqrt{c}\right] \cup \{\sqrt{c}\}$. Moreover, $|H| = \frac{m-2}{m}\sqrt{c}$ if and only if Σ^m is (an open part of) a standard product*

$$\Sigma_1^{m-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1(2c) \subset \mathbb{S}^n(c),$$

where Σ_1^{m-1} is a minimal submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}(2c)$.

Theorem 4.4 ([6])**.** *A submanifold Σ^m in a Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}$, with second fundamental form σ, mean curvature vector field H, and shape operator A, is biharmonic if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta^\perp H + \operatorname{trace} \sigma(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + \operatorname{trace}(\bar{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^\perp = 0 \\ \frac{m}{2} \operatorname{grad} |H|^2 + 2 \operatorname{trace} A_{\nabla^\perp H}(\cdot) + 2 \operatorname{trace}(\bar{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^\top = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Delta^\perp$ is the Laplacian in the normal bundle and $\bar{R}$ is the curvature tensor of $\bar{M}$.

Now, we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 4.5. *A pmc submanifold Σ^m in $M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, with $m \geq 2$, is biharmonic if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} H \perp \xi \\ |A_H|^2 = c(m - |T|^2)|H|^2 \\ \operatorname{trace}(A_H A_U) = 0 \quad \text{for any normal vector} \quad U \perp H. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Since Σ^m is a pmc submanifold, equations (4.1) become

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{trace} \sigma(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + \operatorname{trace}(\bar{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^\perp = 0 \\ \operatorname{trace}(\bar{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^\top = 0 \end{cases}$$

and, as from equation (2.1) we have

$$\operatorname{trace}\bar{R}(\cdot,H)\cdot = c\{(m-1)\langle H,\xi\rangle T-(m-|T|^2)H+m\langle H,\xi\rangle N\},$$

we see that Σ^m is biharmonic if and only if

$$\operatorname{trace}\sigma(\cdot,A_H\cdot)=c\{(m-|T|^2)H-m\langle H,\xi\rangle N\}\quad\text{and}\quad\langle H,\xi\rangle T=0.$$

Now, assume that there exists a point $p\in\Sigma^m$ such that $\langle H,\xi\rangle\neq 0$ at p, and then $\langle H,\xi\rangle\neq 0$ on a neighborhood of p. It follows that $T=0$ on this neighborhood, i.e. $\langle X,\xi\rangle=0$ for any tangent vector field X. Since $\bar{\nabla}\xi=0$, we have

$$0=\langle\bar{\nabla}_Y X,\xi\rangle=\langle\sigma(X,Y),\xi\rangle$$

for any tangent vector fields X and Y. Thus $\langle H,\xi\rangle=0$ on a neighborhood of p, and, therefore, at p, which is a contradiction. Consequently, we have that $H\perp\xi$ everywhere on Σ^m. Then, one obtains

$$\operatorname{trace}\sigma(\cdot,A_H\cdot)=c(m-|T|^2)H,$$

from where we get (4.2). □

Remark 4.1. A direct consequence of Corollary 4.5 is that there are no proper-biharmonic pmc submanifolds in a product space $M^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$ with $c\leq 0$.

Corollary 4.6. *If Σ^n is a proper-biharmonic cmc hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$, then it is (an open part of) a vertical cylinder $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{n-1})$, where Σ^{n-1} is a proper-biharmonic cmc hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$. Moreover, if*

1. *$n=2$, then Σ^1 is a circle in $\mathbb{S}^2(c)$ with curvature equal to $\sqrt{c}$, and $|H|=\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{c}$;*
2. *$n=3$, then Σ^2 is an open part of a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^2(2c)\subset\mathbb{S}^3(c)$, and $|H|=\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{c}$;*
3. *$n>3$, then $|H|\in\left(0,\frac{n-3}{n}\sqrt{c}\right]\cup\left\{\frac{n-1}{n}\sqrt{c}\right\}$. Furthermore,*
   (a) *$|H|=\frac{n-3}{n}\sqrt{c}$ if and only if Σ^{n-1} is an open part of the standard product $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}(2c)\times\mathbb{S}^1(2c)\subset\mathbb{S}^n(c)$;*
   (b) *$|H|=\frac{n-1}{n}\sqrt{c}$ if and only if Σ^{n-1} is an open part of a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(2c)\subset\mathbb{S}^n(c)$.*

Proof. From Corollary 4.5, we get that the mean curvature vector field H of our submanifold is orthogonal to ξ, which means that ξ is tangent to Σ^n. Therefore, Σ^n is a vertical cylinder $\Sigma^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}$, where Σ^{n-1} is a proper-biharmonic cmc hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$, with mean curvature vector field H_0 satisfying $H=\frac{n-1}{n}H_0$, as we know from Remark 2.1.

Now, when $n\in\{2,3\}$, the main result in [10] and [8, Theorem 4.8] lead to (1) and (2), respectively, and when $n>3$, we use Theorem 4.3 to prove (3). □

Proposition 4.7. *Let Σ^m be a proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$, with $m\geq 2$. Then its second fundamental form σ satisfies $|\sigma|^2\geq c(m-1)$, and the equality holds if and only if Σ^m is a vertical cylinder $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{m-1})$ in $\mathbb{S}^m(c)\times\mathbb{R}$, where Σ^{m-1} is a proper biharmonic cmc hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^m(c)$.*

Proof. From the first equation of (4.2), we have

$$|\sigma|^2\geq|A_{\frac{H}{|H|}}|^2=c(m-|T|^2)\geq c(m-1).$$

Thus, $|\sigma|^2=c(m-1)$ if and only if $|T|=1$ at every point on Σ^m, i.e. Σ^m is a vertical cylinder $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{m-1})$, and $A_V=0$ for any normal vector field V orthogonal to H.

Next, let us consider the subbundle $L = \operatorname{span}\{\operatorname{Im}\sigma\}$, and we see that L is parallel in the normal bundle and $\dim L = 1$, since actually $L = \operatorname{span}\{H\}$, and that $\bar{R}(X,Y)Z \in T\Sigma^m \oplus L$, for any $X, Y, Z \in T\Sigma^m \oplus L$. Therefore, using [16, Theorem 2], we get that the cylinder Σ^m lies in an $(m+1)$-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, i.e. it is a vertical cylinder in $\mathbb{S}^m(c) \times \mathbb{R}$. □

Proposition 4.8. *Let Σ^m be a proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, with $m \geq 2$. Then its mean curvature satisfies $|H|^2 \leq c$, and the equality holds if and only if Σ^m is minimal in a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(2c) \subset \mathbb{S}^n(c)$.*

Proof. Since $|A_H|^2 \geq m|H|^4$, from the first equation of (4.2), we get that

$$c(m - |T|^2) \geq m|H|^2,$$

and then $|H|^2 \leq c$. The equality holds if and only if $T = 0$, which means that Σ^m lies in $\mathbb{S}^n$. Thus, using Theorem 4.2, we come to the conclusion. □

Now, for the sake of simplicity, we shall consider only the case $c = 1$, and we are ready to prove the first of our main results.

Theorem 4.9. *Let Σ^m be a complete proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, with $m \geq 2$, such that its mean curvature satisfies*

$$|H|^2 > C(m) = \frac{(m-1)(m^2+4) + (m-2)\sqrt{(m-1)(m-2)(m^2+m+2)}}{2m^3}, \tag{4.3}$$

and the norm of its second fundamental form σ is bounded. Then $m < n$, $|H| = 1$ and Σ^m is a minimal submanifold of a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(2) \subset \mathbb{S}^n$.

Proof. From Corollary 4.5, we have that $\langle H, \xi\rangle = 0$, which implies

$$0 = \langle \bar{\nabla}_X H, \xi\rangle = -\langle A_H X, T\rangle = -\langle A_H T, X\rangle$$

for any tangent vector field X, and then $A_H T = 0$. Therefore, if we consider a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{E_{m+1} = \frac{H}{|H|}, \ldots, E_{n+1}\right\}$ in the normal bundle, using Proposition 3.2 and equation (4.2), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|A_H|^2 = |\nabla A_H|^2 + m(\operatorname{trace} A_H^3) - m^2|H|^4. \tag{4.4}$$

Let us consider $\phi_H = A_H - |H|^2\,\mathrm{I}$ the traceless part of A_H. We have

$$\operatorname{trace} A_H^3 = \operatorname{trace}\phi_H^3 + 3|H|^2|\phi_H|^2 + m|H|^6,$$

and, using the first equation of (4.2),

$$|\phi_H|^2 = |A_H|^2 - m|H|^4 = (m - |T|^2)|H|^2 - m|H|^4.$$

Replacing in equation (4.4), one obtains

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\phi_H|^2 = |\nabla\phi_H|^2 + m(\operatorname{trace}\phi_H^3) + 3m|H|^2|\phi_H|^2 - m^2|H|^4(1 - |H|^2).$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\operatorname{trace}\phi_H^3 \geq -\frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}|\phi_H|^3,$$

and then, since $|T|^2|H|^4=|\phi_H T|^2\leq |T|^2|\phi_H|^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta|\phi_H|^2 &\geq -\tfrac{m(m-2)}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}|\phi_H|^3+3m|H|^2|\phi_H|^2-m^2|H|^4(1-|H|^2)\\ &= -\tfrac{m(m-2)}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}|\phi_H|^3+2m|H|^2|\phi_H|^2-m|T|^2|H|^4\\ &\geq -\tfrac{m(m-2)}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}|\phi_H|^3+2m|H|^2|\phi_H|^2-m|T|^2|\phi_H|^2\\ &= m|\phi_H|^2\Big(-\tfrac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}|\phi_H|+2|H|^2-|T|^2\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Now, we shall split our study in two cases, as $m\geq 3$ or $m=2$.

Case I: $m\geq 3$**.** If $2|H|^2-|T|^2>0$, then we can write

$$-\frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}|\phi_H|+2|H|^2-|T|^2=\frac{1}{m(m-1)}\frac{P(|T|^2)}{\frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}|\phi_H|+2|H|^2-|T|^2},$$

where $P(t)$ is a polynomial with constant coefficients, given by

$$P(t)=m(m-1)t^2-(3m^2-4)|H|^2t+m|H|^2(m^2|H|^2-(m-2)^2).$$

By using elementary arguments, we obtain that, if $|H|^2>C(m)$, then $P(t)\geq P(1)>0$ for any $t\in(-\infty,1]$.

Since $C(m)>\frac{1}{2}$ for any $m\geq 3$, our hypothesis $|H|^2>C(m)$ implies that $2|H|^2-|T|^2>0$, and then, from (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta|\phi_H|^2 &\geq \tfrac{mP(|T|^2)}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}((m-2)|\phi_H|+\sqrt{m(m-1)}(2|H|^2-|T|^2))}|\phi_H|^2\\ &\geq \tfrac{P(|T|^2)}{\sqrt{m-1}|H|((m-2)\sqrt{1-|H|^2}+2\sqrt{m-1}|H|)}|\phi_H|^2\\ &\geq \tfrac{P(1)}{\sqrt{m-1}|H|((m-2)\sqrt{1-|H|^2}+2\sqrt{m-1}|H|)}|\phi_H|^2\\ &\geq 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Next, let us consider a local orthonormal frame field $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^m$ on Σ^m, X a unit tangent vector field, and $\big\{E_{m+1}=\frac{H}{|H|},\ldots,E_{n+1}\big\}$ an orthonormal frame field in the normal bundle. Using equation (2.2), we can compute the Ricci curvature of our submanifold

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ric}X &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m\langle R(E_i,X)X,E_i\rangle\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m\{|X|^2-\langle X,E_i\rangle^2-\langle X,T\rangle^2+2\langle X,T\rangle\langle T,E_i\rangle\langle X,E_i\rangle\\ &\quad -\langle T,E_i\rangle|X|^2+\textstyle\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}(\langle A_\alpha E_i,E_i\rangle\langle A_\alpha X,X\rangle-\langle A_\alpha X,E_i\rangle^2)\}\\ &= m-1-|T|^2-(m-2)\langle X,T\rangle^2+m\langle A_HX,X\rangle-\textstyle\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}|A_\alpha X|^2,\end{aligned}$$

and then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ric}X &\geq (m-1)(1-|T|^2)-m|A_HX|-\textstyle\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n+1}|A_\alpha|^2\\ &\geq -m|A_H|-|\sigma|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since by hypothesis we know that $|\sigma|$ is bounded, we can see that the Ricci curvature of Σ^m is bounded from below, and then the Omori-Yau Maximum Principle holds on our submanifold.

Therefore, we can use Theorem 2.4 with $u = |\phi_H|^2$. It follows that there exists a sequence of points $\{p_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma^m$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} |\phi_H|^2(p_k) = \sup_{\Sigma^m} |\phi_H|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta|\phi_H|^2(p_k) < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Since $P(1) > 0$, from (4.6), we get that $0 = \lim_{k\to\infty} |\phi_H|^2(p_k) = \sup_{\Sigma^m} |\phi_H|^2$, which means that $\phi_H = 0$, i.e. Σ^m is pseudo-umbilical.

Now, since $A_H T = 0$, we have $0 = A_H T = |H|^2 T$, i.e. $T = 0$ on Σ^m, and therefore Σ^m lies in $\mathbb{S}^n$, which also implies that $m < n$. Since $|H|^2 > C(m) > (\frac{m-1}{m})^2 > (\frac{m-2}{m})^2$, using Theorems 4.2 and 4.3, we come to the conclusion.

Case II: $m = 2$**.** In this case, from equation (4.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\phi_H|^2 \geq 2|\phi_H|^2(2|H|^2 - |T|^2) = \frac{2|\phi_H|^2}{|H|^2}(|\phi_H|^2 + 2|H|^2(2|H|^2 - 1)).$$

Now, since $|H|^2 > C(2) = \frac{1}{2}$, working as in the first case, we conclude. □

Remark 4.2. We note that, in the case of proper-biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, if we take $|H|^2 \geq C(2)$, then the conclusion of Theorem 4.9 remains unchanged.

5. Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$

Before proving the second main theorem of this paper we need some preliminary results.

First, we note that the map $p \in \Sigma^2 \to (A_H - \mu\,\mathrm{I})(p)$, where μ is a constant, is analytic, and, therefore, either Σ^2 is a pseudo-umbilical surface (at every point), or $H(p)$ is not an umbilical direction for any point p, or $H(p)$ is an umbilical direction on a closed set without interior points. We shall denote by W the set of points where H is not an umbilical direction. In the second case, W coincides with Σ^2, and in the third one, W is an open dense set in Σ^2.

As the authors observed in [4, Lemma 1], we have that, if Σ^2 is a pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, with mean curvature vector field H, then either Σ^2 is pseudo-umbilical, i.e. H is an umbilical direction everywhere, or, at any point in W, there exists a local orthonormal frame field that diagonalizes A_U for any normal vector field U defined on W.

If Σ^2 is a pseudo-umbilical pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, then it was proved in [4, Lemma 3] that it lies in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$, and, therefore, Σ^2 is minimal in a small hypersphere of $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Σ^2 be a pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$. Then Σ^2 is proper-biharmonic if and only if either*

1. *Σ^2 is pseudo-umbilical and, therefore, it is a minimal surface of a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(2c) \subset \mathbb{S}^n(c)$; or*
2. *the mean curvature vector field H is orthogonal to ξ, $|A_H|^2 = c(2-|T|^2)|H|^2$, and $A_U = 0$ for any normal vector field U orthogonal to H.*

Proof. As we have seen, in the first case, Σ^2 is a minimal surface in a small hypersphere of $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$, and then the conclusion follows from [9, Theorem 3.4].

Assume now that Σ^2 is not pseudo-umbilical. In the following, we shall work on the set W defined above. Let p be an arbitrary point in W and consider $\{e_1, e_2\}$ an orthonormal basis at p that diagonalizes A_H and A_U for any normal vector U

orthogonal to H. Since $H \perp U$, it follows that $\operatorname{trace} A_U = 2\langle H, U\rangle = 0$. The matrices of A_H and A_U with respect to $\{e_1, e_2\}$ are

$$A_H = \begin{pmatrix} a+|H|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -a+|H|^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_U = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & -b \end{pmatrix},$$

and then, from the last biharmonic condition (4.2), we get $0 = \operatorname{trace}(A_H A_U) = 2ab$. Since $a \neq 0$, we get $b = 0$, i.e. $A_U = 0$.

Finally, we extend the result by continuity throughout Σ^2, and we conclude. □

Corollary 5.2. *If Σ^2 is a proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ then the tangent part T of ξ has constant length.*

Proof. If the surface is pseudo-umbilical, then $T = 0$.

Now, assume that Σ^2 is non-pseudo-umbilical and we shall work on W. Let p be an arbitrary point in W and $X \in T_p\Sigma^2$. Since $\bar{\nabla}\xi = 0$ and $H \perp N$, we get that $\nabla_X T = A_N X = 0$. Then, we have

$$X(|T|^2) = 2\langle \nabla_X T, T\rangle = 0.$$

By continuity, it follows that $X(|T|^2) = 0$ for any tangent vector field X defined on Σ^2, and we come to the conclusion. □

Remark 5.1. We note that, if Σ^2 is a proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $T = 0$, then it lies in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$ and is pseudo-umbilical (see [5]).

We recall now the following two results.

Lemma 5.3 ([4])**.** *Let Σ^2 be a non-pseudo-umbilical pmc surface in $M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, with second fundamental form σ, and define on W the subbundle $L = \operatorname{span}\{\operatorname{Im}\sigma \cup N\}$ of the normal bundle. Then L is parallel, i.e. if U is a smooth section on L, then $\nabla^\perp U \in L$.*

Proposition 5.4 ([17])**.** *If Σ^2 is a pmc surface in $M^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 = |A_N|^2 + K|T|^2 + 2T(\langle H, N\rangle),$$

where K is the Gaussian curvature of the surface.

Corollary 5.5. *If Σ^2 is a non-pseudo-umbilical proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, then it is flat.*

In the following, let Σ^2 be a non-pseudo-umbilical proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$. It follows that $|T| = \text{constant} \neq 0$, i.e. $|N| = \text{constant} \in [0, 1)$. Working on the set W, since $A_U = 0$ for any normal vector field U orthogonal to H, we obtain $\dim \operatorname{span}\{\operatorname{Im}\sigma\} = 1$ and then, $\dim L = 2$. Now, we apply [16, Theorem 2] and obtain that W, and therefore Σ^2, lies in $\mathbb{S}^3(c) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Further, we shall prove that $|T| = 1$ on Σ^2, i.e. $|N| = 0$.

Assume that $|N| > 0$. Then there is a global orthonormal frame field $\big\{E_3 = \frac{H}{|H|}, E_4 = \frac{N}{|N|}\big\}$, and we have $A_4 = 0$ and $|\sigma|^2 = |A_3|^2 = c(2 - |T|^2)$.

On the other hand, since the surface is flat, from (2.2), it follows that

$$0 = 2K = 2c(1 - |T|^2) + 4|H|^2 - |\sigma|^2 = -c|T|^2 + 4|H|^2$$

and then, that

$$4|H|^2 = c|T|^2. \tag{5.1}$$

From Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.5, in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|A_H|^2 = |\nabla A_H|^2 + 2(\operatorname{trace} A_H^3) - 4c|H|^4. \tag{5.2}$$

Next, since Corollary 5.2 implies that $|A_H|^2 = c(2-|T|^2)|H|^2$ is constant, and, from relation (5.1), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{trace} A_H^3 &= \operatorname{trace}\phi_H^3 + 3|H|^2|\phi_H|^2 + 2|H|^6 = 3c(2-|T|^2)|H|^4 - 4|H|^6\\ &= 6c|H|^4 - 16|H|^6,\end{aligned}$$

equation (5.2) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= |\nabla A_H|^2 + 8c|H|^4 - 32|H|^6 = |\nabla A_H|^2 + 8|H|^4(c-4|H|^2)\\ &= |\nabla A_H|^2 + 8c|H|^4|N|^2,\end{aligned}$$

and we get that $|\nabla A_H|^2 = 0$ and $N = 0$. Therefore, the surface is a vertical cylinder. Now, since Σ^2 is flat, we have $|H| = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{c}$, i.e. $\Sigma^2 = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$, where γ is a proper-biharmonic pmc curve in $\mathbb{S}^3(c)$ with curvature $\kappa = 2|H| = \sqrt{c}$. It follows that γ actually is a proper-biharmonic circle in $\mathbb{S}^2(c)$.

Summarizing, we have the following rigidity result.

Theorem 5.6. *Let Σ^2 be a proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$. Then either*

1. *Σ^2 is a minimal surface of a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(2c)\subset\mathbb{S}^n(c)$; or*
2. *Σ^2 is (an open part of) a vertical cylinder $\pi^{-1}(\gamma)$, where γ is a circle in $\mathbb{S}^2(c)$ with curvature equal to $\sqrt{c}$, i.e. γ is a biharmonic circle in $\mathbb{S}^2(c)$.*

From Theorem 5.6 we can see that equation $\nabla A_H = 0$ holds for all proper-biharmonic surfaces. From this point of view, the following result can be seen as a generalization of that theorem for higher dimensional submanifolds. Before stating the theorem, we have to mention that proper-biharmonic pmc submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$, with $\nabla A_H = 0$, were classified in [7].

Theorem 5.7. *If Σ^m, with $m\geq 3$, is a proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$ such that $\nabla A_H = 0$, then either*

1. *Σ^m is a proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$, with $\nabla A_H = 0$; or*
2. *Σ^m is (an open part of) a vertical cylinder $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{m-1})$, where Σ^{m-1} is a proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$ such that the shape operator corresponding to its mean curvature vector field in $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$ is parallel.*

Proof. On the one hand, since $\nabla A_H = 0$, we have that $[R(X,Y),A_H] = 0$ for any vector fields X and Y tangent to Σ^m. On the other hand, since Σ^m is a proper-biharmonic pmc submanifold, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 4.9, we also have $A_H T = 0$, and then it follows that $A_H R(X,T)T = 0$.

Now, let us consider a local orthonormal frame field $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^m$ on Σ^m, and $\left\{E_{m+1} = \frac{H}{|H|},\dots,E_{n+1}\right\}$ an orthonormal frame field in the normal bundle. We obtain, using (2.2), Lemma 3.1 and again $A_H T = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m \langle A_H R(E_i,T)T, E_i\rangle\\ &= c(\operatorname{trace} A_H)|T|^2(1-|T|^2) + \textstyle\sum_{\alpha=m+2}^{n+1}(\operatorname{trace}(A_H A_\alpha))\langle A_\alpha T, T\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

From the last equation of (4.2), we know that $\mathrm{trace}(A_H A_\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in \{m+2, \ldots, n+1\}$, and therefore we have

$$0 = cm|H|^2|T|^2(1-|T|^2),$$

i.e. either $|T| = 0$ or $|T| = 1$, which completes the proof. □

References

[1] U. Abresch and H. Rosenberg, *A Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Acta Math. 193(2004), 141–174.

[2] U. Abresch and H. Rosenberg, *Generalized Hopf differentials*, Mat. Contemp. 28(2005), 1–28.

[3] H. Alencar and M. do Carmo, *Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120(1994), 1223–1229.

[4] H. Alencar, M. do Carmo and R. Tribuzy, *A Hopf Theorem for ambient spaces of dimensions higher than three*, J. Differential Geometry 84(2010), 1–17.

[5] A. Balmuş, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *Classification results for biharmonic submanifolds in spheres*, Israel J. Math. 168(2008), 201–220.

[6] A. Balmuş, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *On the biharmonicity of pseudo-umbilical and PNMC submanifolds in spheres and their type*, preprint 2011.

[7] A. Balmuş and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector field in spheres*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., to appear.

[8] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds of* $\mathbb{S}^3$, Internat. J. Math. 12(2001), 867–876.

[9] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds in spheres*, Israel J. Math. 130(2002), 109–123.

[10] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo and P. Piu, *Biharmonic curves in a surface*, Rend. Mat. Appl. 21(2001), 143–157.

[11] B. Y. Chen, *A report on submanifolds of finite type*, Soochow J. Math. 22(1996), 117–337.

[12] B. Y. Chen and S. Ishikawa, *Biharmonic pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidian spaces*, Kyushu J. Math. 52(1998), 167–185.

[13] I. Dimitric, *Submanifolds of* $\mathbb{E}^m$ *with harmonic mean curvature vector*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 20(1992), 53–65.

[14] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 86(1964), 109–160.

[15] J. Erbacher, *Reduction of the codimension of an isometric immersion*, J. Differential Geometry 5(1971), 333–340.

[16] J. H. Eschenburg and R. Tribuzy, *Existence and uniqueness of maps into affine homogeneous spaces*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 89(1993), 11–18.

[17] D. Fetcu and H. Rosenberg, *Surfaces with parallel mean curvature in* $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, `arXiv:math.DG/1103.6254v1`.

[18] G. Y. Jiang, 2*-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A7(4)(1986), 389–402.

[19] K. Nomizu and B. Smyth, *A formula of Simons' type and hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature*, J. Differential Geometry 3(1969), 367–377.

[20] M. Okumura, *Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor*, Amer. J. Math. 96(1974), 207–213.

[21] C. Oniciuc, *Tangency and Harmonicity Properties*, PhD Thesis, Geometry Balkan Press, Bucureşti, 2003, `http://www.mathem.pub.ro/dgds/mono/dgdsmono.htm`.

[22] Y.-L. Ou and Z.-P. Wang, *Constant mean curvature and totally umbilical biharmonic surfaces in* 3*-dimensional geometries*, J. Geom. Phys. 61(2011), 1845–1853.

[23] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 88(1968), 62–105.

[24] S.-T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Commun. Pure. Appl. Math. 28(1975), 201–228.

Department of Mathematics, "Gh. Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Bd. Carol I no. 11, 700506 Iasi, Romania

E-mail address: `dfetcu@math.tuiasi.ro; dorel@impa.br`

Faculty of Mathematics, "Al.I. Cuza" University of Iasi, Bd. Carol I no. 11, 700506 Iasi, Romania
E-mail address: oniciucc@uaic.ro

IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina, 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro, Brasil
E-mail address: rosen@impa.ro